Genomic Islands of Speciation in *Anopheles gambiae*

Thomas L. Turner^{*}, Matthew W. Hahn[¤], Sergey V. Nuzhdin

Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, California, United States of America

The African malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto (A. gambiae), provides a unique opportunity to study the evolution of reproductive isolation because it is divided into two sympatric, partially isolated subtaxa known as M form and S form. With the annotated genome of this species now available, high-throughput techniques can be applied to locate and characterize the genomic regions contributing to reproductive isolation. In order to quantify patterns of differentiation within A. gambiae, we hybridized population samples of genomic DNA from each form to Affymetrix GeneChip microarrays. We found that three regions, together encompassing less than 2.8 Mb, are the only locations where the M and S forms are significantly differentiated. Two of these regions are adjacent to centromeres, on Chromosomes 2L and X, and contain 50 and 12 predicted genes, respectively. Sequenced loci in these regions contain fixed differences between forms and no shared polymorphisms, while no fixed differences were found at nearby control loci. The third region, on Chromosome 2R, contains only five predicted genes; fixed differences in this region were also verified by direct sequencing. These "speciation islands" remain differentiated despite considerable gene flow, and are therefore expected to contain the genes responsible for reproductive isolation. Much effort has recently been applied to locating the genes and genetic changes responsible for reproductive isolation between species. Though much can be inferred about speciation by studying taxa that have diverged for millions of years, studying differentiation between taxa that are in the early stages of isolation will lead to a clearer view of the number and size of regions involved in the genetics of speciation. Despite appreciable levels of gene flow between the M and S forms of A. gambiae, we were able to isolate three small regions of differentiation where genes responsible for ecological and behavioral isolation are likely to be located. We expect reproductive isolation to be due to changes at a small number of loci, as these regions together contain only 67 predicted genes. Concentrating future mapping experiments on these regions should reveal the genes responsible for reproductive isolation between forms.

Citation: Turner TL, Hahn MW, Nuzhdin SV (2005) Genomic islands of speciation in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS Biol 3(9): e285.

Introduction

Uncovering the genetic basis for reproductive isolation is a key to understanding how biological diversity is generated. Many researchers have used quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping experiments to find the number and size of regions involved in both pre- and post-mating isolation between species (e.g., [1–6]). Although QTL mapping experiments are a powerful method for mapping large regions of the genome responsible for isolation traits, large numbers of recombinant offspring or advanced genetic tools are needed to fine-map the genes underlying QTLs (e.g., [7–9]). By contrast, studies of genomic differentiation between naturally hybridizing taxa make it possible to take advantage of the many recombination events that occur between backcrossed hybrid individuals in order to map the regions responsible for reproductive isolation [10–14].

Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto (A. gambiae), is the type species of the Anopheles gambiae sensu lato complex: a group of seven closely related African species morphologically indistinguishable as adults [15] and incompletely reproductively isolated from one another (hybrid females are fertile) [15,16]. The observation that some species blood-feed exclusively on humans and breed in artificial environments (which have been available for less than 10,000 y) further suggests that this species complex is the result of recent radiation [17]. In addition to these seven recognized taxa, A. gambiae is further subdivided into two partially isolated taxa known as the M form and S form [18]. These forms were originally delineated based on several tightly linked single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the rDNA of the X chromosome that are rarely found as heterozygotes [19]. Subsequent studies of reproductive isolation in nature revealed that these forms mate assortatively, with 98.8% of wild-caught gravid females (within an area of sympatry) having mated within their own form [20,21]. When forms are crossed in the lab, no intrinsic fitness reductions are found [22], suggesting that the observed heterozygotic deficiencies are due to nonrandom mating and/ or ecologically dependent postzygotic isolation. Studies of gene flow using microsatellite markers have repeatedly found no appreciable genetic differentiation outside the centromeric end of the X chromosome (except between inversions that are not fixed between forms [23]), but these studies have only genotyped 10–25 loci [23–27].

Received January 18, 2005; Accepted June 14, 2005; Published August 9, 2005 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285

Copyright: © 2005 Turner et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abbreviations: 2L, left arm of Chromosome 2; 2R, right arm of Chromosome 2; A. gambiae, Anopheles Gambiae sensu strictu; bp, base pair(s); HMM, hidden Markov model; kb, kilobase(s); SFP, single-feature polymorphism; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism

Academic Editor: Nick Barton, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tlturner@ucdavis.edu

¤ Current address: Department of Biology and School of Informatics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, United States of America

To better examine the genetic basis for the maintenance of reproductive isolation between the M and S forms of A. gambiae and to delineate the number and size of regions that do not introgress-which may contain genes involved in reproductive isolation-we hybridized DNA of single mosquitoes from population samples of M and S forms to Affymetrix GeneChip microarrays. Recent studies in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [28] and Arabidopsis thaliana [29] have shown that hybridizing genomic DNA to Affymetrix arrays, which are printed with 25 base pair (bp) oligonucleotides ("probes"), allows precise mapping of DNA polymorphisms between samples. Because the hybridization intensity between probes on the array and DNA in the sample depends on sequence identity, polymorphisms located within these probes (either single nucleotide differences or small indels) can be quantified. Borevitz et al. [29] used this technique to genotype two Arabidopsis thaliana inbred strains and their recombinant inbred line, and were able to precisely delineate which regions of the recombinant line came from either parental line. Our goals were (1) to locate regions of the genome where M and S forms differed; (2) to test whether the observed pattern of differentiation resulted from selection against gene flow or could be explained by genetic drift or other processes; and (3) to determine what this genomic pattern could tell us about speciation and adaptive radiation.

Results

We used seven samples of M form and seven samples of S form mosquitoes from areas of Cameroon where they are sympatric (see Materials and Methods), and where gene flow at microsatellites is known to be high [26]. These samples were chosen to avoid the confounding factor of several segregating inversions found within *A. gambiae* [23,30]. In Cameroon both *M* and *S* forms possess the same (standard) karyotype [26].

We remapped each 25-bp probe on the Affymetrix *Plasmodium/Anopheles* GeneChip array to the most recent *A. gambiae* genome assembly and removed probes with multiple exact matches, which generated a marker map of 142,065 unique probes (see Materials and Methods). Whole genomic DNA from single female mosquitoes was hybridized to each array, with seven individuals hybridized per form (a total of 14 arrays). In addition, one mosquito DNA isolate was labeled and hybridized a second time as a technical replicate. As expected, all samples were very similar, with the technical replicates more highly correlated than any of the biological replicates, indicating high reproducibility (average Spearman *M* vs. *M* correlation = 0.954, average Spearman *M* vs. *S* correlation = 0.942, Spearman technical replicate correlation = 0.989).

Differentiation between forms is shown in Figure 1. To predict which probes contained polymorphisms between forms, we calculated *t*-test *p*-values for each probe and considered probes with p < 0.01 to be candidate single-

feature polymorphisms (SFPs; [29]). We also directly sequenced several candidate SFPs to verify these differences (see below and Materials and Methods). The number of probes with differences between forms within a window of 300 probes was then tested against the number expected to appear if sequence differences were distributed randomly across each chromosome (via a χ^2 test). The null hypothesis in this analysis, a random distribution of SFPs, could be violated simply because of linkage disequilibrium of probes within a gene. We permuted probesets-preserving the association of probes within a gene-to test for this effect (see Materials and Methods). After correcting for multiple tests, four regions were found to be significantly differentiated in the initial sliding window analysis: the region proximal to the centromere on the left arm of Chromosome 2 (2L), the centromeric end of the telocentric X chromosome, and two regions on the right arm of Chromosome 2 (2R). We also searched for differentiated regions using a hidden Markov model (HMM), which recovered the regions on Chromosomes 2L and X, and one of the regions on Chromosome 2R (see Materials and Methods). The 2L and X regions remained highly significant after permutation testing (2L, p = 0.002; X, p < 0.001), but the 2R regions were not significant. For the 2R region detected in both analyses, nonsignificance may be due simply to its small size in relation to the size of sliding windows: seven of 11 SFPs in this window fell within four probesets, spanning only 40 kilobases (kb). Overall, the significance of the differentiated regions on Chromosomes 2L and X is strongly supported by all analyses, and the small region on 2R is suggestive: these three regions are our candidate "speciation islands." Using the HMM, we estimated the sizes of these regions to be 2,160 kb, 566 kb, and 37 kb for Chromosomes 2L, X, and 2R, respectively. We expect these values to be underestimates for the regions on 2L and X because some heterochromatic portions of the neighboring centromeres have not been assembled. The number of predicted genes in each chromosomal region is 50 in 2L, 12 in X, and five in 2R.

We directly assayed sequence variation from the islands in a larger sample to verify the contrast between these regions and the rest of the genome. Divergent regions were compared both to sequences from nearby loci that did not fall within the island of differentiation and to additional control sequences on Chromosome 3R. Sequenced loci are indicated in Figure 1, and sample sizes and sequence statistics are listed for all loci in Table 1. Fully supporting inferences from the whole-genome analysis, sequences from differentiated regions on Chromosomes 2L and X contained fixed differences and no shared polymorphisms, while adjacent control loci contained shared polymorphisms and no fixed differences (Table 1). This disparity between fixed and shared differences is highly significant at both regions (Chromosome 2L: seven fixed, none shared within the differentiated region, none fixed, ten shared outside the differentiated region, Fisher's exact test, p = 0.00005; Chromosome X: five fixed, none

Figure 1. Differentiation between Forms

The significance threshold shown is p = 0.05, Bonferroni-corrected for the number of windows tested per chromosome. The centromeres of Chromosomes 2 and 3 are located between the right and left arms, i.e., between each pair of graphs; the centromere of Chromosome X is located at the right end of the graph. Grey areas are divergent regions identified by our HMM. The grey region at the tip of Chromosome X appears to lie outside of the final window because the chromosomal position given for each window is the location of the central probe in that window; the final window on Chromosome X spans a large region because of low gene density. Sequenced loci are shown with red triangles; overlapping triangles on Chromosomes 3R and 2R obscure multiple sequenced loci (see text for details). 3L, left arm of Chromosome 3; 3R, right arm of Chromosome 3. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285.g001

40









Chromo- some	Location of Gene (Mb)	Gene	Form	Number of Chromo- somes Sequenced	Length of Sequenced Region (bp)	Number of Poly- morphisms in Each Form	Number of Private Poly- morphisms in Each Form	Hetero- zygosity of Each Form	Ratio of Fixed Differences to Shared Polymorphisms	Tajima's D
X	21.85	P450_2	М	26	519	2	2	0.001	5.0	0 112
	21.05	1430-2	s	20	515	1	1	0.001	5.0	_1 156
	21 20	Heat shock	M	24	496	1	1	0.001	1.0	-0.281
	21.20	fieur shoek	s	26	450	1	1	0.001	1.0	_1 156
	16.62	P450_1	M	24	502	7	3	0.003	0.4	_0.274
	10.02	1450 1	S	24	502	6	2	0.003	0.4	-1.958*
21	0.75	LIM	M	12	508	1	1	0.001	4:0	-0.195
			S	16		4	4	0.001		-1.831*
	1.90	lon channel	M	24	483	0	0	0.000	3:0	0.000
			S	26		0	0	0.000		0.000
	4.18	Subtilase	M	26	429	11	1	0.010	0:10	1.615
			S	26		25	15	0.012		-0.760
2R	24.81	GPRar13	M	20	231	5	3	0.004	0:2	-0.946
			S	10		8	6	0.012		-0.110
	24.85	GPRor39	М	24	419	9	1	0.005	0:8	0.387
			S	22		16	8	0.010		-0.204
	24.86	GPRor38	М	24	516	12	12	0.003	0:0	-1.620
			S	24		28	28	0.012		-0.590
	24.88	UNK1	М	24	388	2	2	0.001	2:0	- 0.667
			S	18		21	21	0.020		0.972
	25.20	FAC3C	М	24	464	7	5	0.005	0:2	-0.268
			S	20		8	6	0.004		-0.264
3R	39.50	Sterility	М	24	436	5	1	0.002	0:4	-0.773
			S	22		8	4	0.003		-1.372
	39.85	tRNA Syn.	М	26	428	35	16	0.021	0:19	-0.118
			S	24		22	3	0.014		-0.188
	39.89	GPRor69	М	26	456	17	9	0.006	0:8	-1.504
			S	24		18	10	0.008		-0.823
	39.91	GPRor70	М	26	385	16	7	0.010	0:9	-0.205
			S	24		16	7	0.011		-0.032

Table 1. DNA Variation and Differentiation at Sequenced Loci

Loci sequenced from within differentiated islands are shown in bold.

Further information on sequenced loci, including ENSEMBL ID numbers, is available in Table S1. * p < 0.05.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285.t001

shared within the differentiated region, none fixed, four shared outside the differentiated region, Fisher's exact test, p = 0.008). The intron of the P450-2 gene, in the divergent Chromosome X region, also had a 51-bp indel fixed between forms. The level of polymorphism within differentiated regions on Chromosomes 2L and X was low within each form ($\pi \leq 0.001$ for all four loci), as would be expected if these regions had low rates of recombination because of proximity to centromeres. The nearby control region on X also had low polymorphism (Table 1), but showed no fixed differences.

On Chromosome 2R we sequenced five loci: three loci inside the 37-kb region that was detected in both of our whole-genome analyses and two control loci adjacent to this region (one on either side; see Figure 1). Both control loci showed only shared polymorphisms and equal levels of nucleotide diversity between forms (Table 1). We found a single gene within the island that showed fixed differences and no shared polymorphisms between forms, similar to the loci on Chromosomes 2L and X. This gene (denoted *UNK1*) has no known function, and a BLASTn search yielded significant similarity only to an adjacent gene in *A. gambiae* that is also within the differentiated region but was not sequenced in our study. The two other genes within the island

had no fixed differences, but all three loci had highly unequal levels of diversity between forms; S form mosquitoes showed up to 20 times the levels of nucleotide polymorphism as Mform individuals. Gene UNK1 showed the greatest asymmetry in polymorphism, with 21 SNPs in the S form sample and two in the M form sample. There is no evidence from tests of selection [31] that a recent sweep has occurred (Table 1), leaving the reasons for this asymmetry unclear.

Additional control sequences from Chromosome 3R showed shared polymorphisms between forms and no fixed differences, despite low levels of variability at some loci (Table 1). This highlights one possible complication of our analysis: reduced variability within forms may lead to fixed differences between forms in the absence of selection against hybrids [32]. Areas of low recombination that are exposed to repeated linked selection can show differentiation between populations, even in the face of high levels of gene flow [32,33]. Though there is little information on genomic recombination rates in A. gambiae, it is likely, as in Drosophila, that areas adjacent to centromeres have reduced recombination; these regions have high numbers of DNA repeats and low gene density [34]. The lack of fixed differences in our control regions adjacent to centromeres and the fixed differences we found in the middle of Chromosome 2R both

refute the idea that recombination alone is responsible for the observed pattern of differentiation. We further tested for the effect of reduced recombination in causing the observed pattern through coalescent simulations. We used the program MS [35] to generate coalescent genealogies under a Wright-Fisher symmetric island model of gene flow between two subpopulations (cf. [33]). Estimates of the migration rate between forms in Cameroon were taken from the study by Wondji et al. [26] (we used the most conservative estimate, $4N_em = 10$). We simulated two types of loci: one with levels of nucleotide polymorphism and recombination typical of most of our control regions, and one with 10-fold reductions in effective population size and recombination rate typical of most of our differentiated regions (see Materials and Methods). After generating 10,000 coalescent genealogies we did not observe a single instance of fixed differences in the absence of shared polymorphisms between subpopulations for our simulated control loci. Conversely, for our simulated differentiated loci we observed 32 instances where this occurred (p = 0.0032). Conservatively considering the two sequenced genes within each of our Chromosome 2L and Chromosome X islands as single loci, the probability of sequencing loci from both regions and observing fixed differences without shared polymorphisms-even with a much reduced effective population size—is p < 0.0001. It is therefore unlikely that decreased variability alone is responsible for the observed differences between forms.

Discussion

Our genome-wide array analysis and our analysis of sequence polymorphism clearly show that differentiation between the M and S forms of A. gambiae is only present in a few regions of the genome. Although some of this similarity could be due to ancestral polymorphism, several lines of evidence support the hypothesis of substantial current gene flow between A. gambiae M form and A. gambiae S form. Previous studies have documented between-form mating of A. gambiae in nature (1.2% of scorable matings) [20,21], and hybrid M/S genotypes have been found (1.1% of larvae and 0.3% of adults in a population where *M* and *S* are sympatric) [36]. A previous survey using microsatellite loci of M and Sforms in Cameroon found F_{st} values between forms that were consistent with substantial migration rates (calculating $4N_em$ from the average F_{st} reported in [26] yields $10 < 4N_em < 47$). Microsatellite F_{st} values are currently being calculated for the mosquitoes used in our study, with comparable results (F. Tripet, unpublished data).

In contrast to the low levels of differentiation found throughout most of the genome, our array experiments revealed three small regions to be significantly differentiated between forms. Sequences from islands on Chromosomes 2L and X contain 13 fixed differences and no shared polymorphisms. One gene within the third island, a 37-kb region on Chromosome 2R, also shows only fixed differences between forms.

In the early stages of divergence, above-average differentiation is expected between regions of low recombination. We conducted coalescent simulations to test whether the observed differentiation on Chromosomes 2L and X could plausibly result from neutral scenarios. Rejection of this neutral hypothesis (p < 0.0001) suggests that differentiation in these regions is due to selection against hybrid genotypes during backcrossing. This conclusion supports the prediction that when gene flow is present, differentiation between incipient species can be limited to small regions surrounding isolating genes [37]. No intrinsic postzygotic isolation has been found between forms, so we expect that the genes in these speciation islands are responsible for the observed prezygotic isolation or cause postzygotic isolation in nature (e.g., through ecological maladaptation, sexual isolation of hybrids from both parent species, or other causes).

Recombination between genes responsible for assortative mating and postzygotic isolation is thought to be a major barrier to speciation in the presence of gene flow [38]. Recent work has shown that inversions may facilitate speciation by creating linkage disequilibrium between these genes [39-41]. Although no direct information on recombination rates in these islands is available, the low polymorphism, high repeat density [34], and low gene density [34] within them suggest that regions near the centromeres have reduced recombination. This reduced recombination may create linkage disequilibrium between isolating factors, although the speciation islands we detected are so small that there are few genes within them to link together. Further investigation is necessary to determine whether our speciation islands contain co-adapted gene complexes, or whether they contain single loci experiencing divergent selection.

In the A. gambiae sensu lato species complex, overlapping distributions of partially isolated taxa are the rule and not the exception. A. gambiae M form and A. gambiae S form are broadly sympatric, and they are also sympatric with their two closest sibling species, A. merus and A. arabiensis [42], which are only partially isolated from the A. gambiae forms [16]. Mapping genomic differentiation between other members of this species complex will inform the study of speciation and adaptive radiation by showing whether there are consistent patterns of genomic differentiation between these species, how these speciation islands may change in size or number with time, and what genes within them are responsible for reproductive isolation.

Materials and Methods

DNA labeling and microarray hybridization. Mosquitoes were collected in the towns of Buea (one *M* form, one *S* form), Mutanguene (one M form, two S forms), and Tiko (five M forms, four S forms), in Cameroon in 2003 by the lab of G. C. Lanzaro (Department of Entomology, University of California, Davis, California, United States), who graciously shared samples for this study. DNA was extracted following Post et al. [43], and standard PCR diagnostics were used to differentiate A. gambiae from A. arabiensis [44] and to differentiate A. gambiae M and S forms [18]. Polytene chromosome preparation and analysis were as described in Hunt et al. [45]. Extracted DNA was labeled with an Invitrogen Bioprime Labling Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, United States), following Borevitz et al. [29]. Isolated DNA in water (1,200 ng) was added on ice to 120 μl of random primers to a total volume of 200 µl. This solution was denatured in a 95 °C water bath, cooled on ice, then added to Klenow polymerase (6 µl), and dNTPs (30 µl). Incubation at 25 °C overnight resulted in small biotinylated oligos of approximately 50 bp. DNA was precipitated by adding 20 µl of 3 M NaOH and 400 µl of cold 100% EtOH. This solution was frozen at -80 °C for 15 min and centrifuged at 15,000g for 10 min, and the pelletized DNA was dried and resuspended in 50 µl of ddI H2O. Microarrays were hybridized by the University of California at Davis School of Medicine Microarray Core Facility using genomic DNA in place of cDNA in the standard Affymetrix protocol (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, United States).

Microarray analysis. Raw hybridization intensities were normalized in R via RMA [46] using the Bioconductor Affy package [47] (http:// www.bioconductor.org). Each probe on the array was blasted against the most recent A. gambiae genome assembly in order to remove probes with more than one exact match and to remap all probes onto the current assembly. We considered probes with two tailed t-test pvalues less than 0.01 to contain SNPs between forms (1,577 total probes; this expectation was based on previous studies that validated the method [28,29], and was verified for our samples by sequence data discussed below). To test for regional differentiation, the chromosomal positions of probes with p < 0.01 were considered. To detect regional clustering of these probes, a sliding window of 300 probes was moved 30 probes at each step, and a χ^2 test was performed to test whether the number of significant probes in each window was more than that expected by chance. Four regions were significant in this analysis at p < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for the number of windows tested per chromosome. Three of these regions were robust to varying window sizes (data not shown). These analyses were carried out on each chromosome individually; the average window size was approximately 500 kb, with a range of approximately 60-2,000 kb, depending on the density of probes in each region. Significant probes could be more clustered than random because of the shared history of linked probes, so the sliding window analysis was repeated on 1,000 permuted datasets to test the effect of short-range linkage disequilibrium on our conclusions. For each permutation, probesets were reshuffled, but probes within a probeset remained associated. The 300-probe window with the highest number of significant probes in each permutation was recorded, and significance was assigned based on the number of permutations containing a window with differentiation equal to the observed value. As an additional test, we constructed a HMM [48] to segment each chromosome into introgressed and differentiated regions. Transition and emission probabilities of the HMM were estimated by expectation maximization; hidden states were then inferred using the Viterbi algorithm in Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, United States). The three divergent regions together are estimated to be approximately 2,740 kb, which is 1.2% of the assembled genome. All significant overlapping probes were combined in both analyses to control for nonindependence (two overlapping probes with p < 0.01counted as one observation). Nonindependence could also arise because of deletions covering whole probesets; deletions were characterized by low *p*-values throughout a probeset, and were collapsed into one observation for the analysis. The data shown in Figure 1 were corrected for both overlapping probes and deletions in candidate regions. Excel files of normalized data for each chromosome are available from the authors upon request.

Sequencing. All products were sequenced in both directions; see Table 1 for sequence lengths and sample sizes for each sequence. All individuals used in the whole-genome analysis were included, and sample sizes were increased to include additional samples collected in the same locations at the same time. Sequence traces were assembled and edited in CodonCode (http://www.codoncode.com), which uses ABI quality scores and Phred/Phrap to call bases, find heterozygous SNPs, and correct for heterozygous indels. Analysis of polymorphism and divergence was done in DNAsp (http://www.ub.es/dnasp/). The regions we sequenced covered nine probes with uncorrected *p*-values less than 0.01. The expected nucleotide difference was found in seven probes (78%), and one of the remaining two probes overlapped with a probe that contained the expected difference, highlighting the nonindependence of overlapping probes (which we corrected for in

References

- Coyne JA, Crittenden AP, Mah K (1994) Genetics of a pheromonal difference contributing to reproductive isolation in *Drosophila*. Science 265: 1461–1464.
- Bradshaw HD, Wilbert SM, Otto KG, Schemske DW (1995) Genetic mapping of floral traits associated with reproductive isolation in Monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). Nature 376: 762–765.
- Jones CD (2005) The genetics of adaptation in *Drosophila sechellia*. Genetica 123: 137–145.
- Fishman L, Kelly AJ, Willis JH (2002) Minor quantitative trait loci underlie floral traits associated with mating system divergence in *Mimulus*. Evolution 56: 2138–2155.
- Moyle LC, Graham EB (2005) Genetics of hybrid incompatibility between Lycopersicon esculentum and L. hirsutum. Genetics 169: 355–373.
- Lycopersicon esculentum and L. hirsutum. Genetics 169: 355–373.
 Ortiz-Barrientos D, Counterman BA, Noor MAF (2004) The genetics of speciation by reinforcement. PLoS Biol 2: e416. DOI: 10.1371/journal. pbio.0020416
- Ting CT, Tsaur SC, Wu ML, Wu CI (1998) A rapidly evolving homeobox at the site of a hybrid sterility gene. Science 282: 1501–1504.
- 8. Barbash DA, Siino DF, Tarone AM, Roote J (2003) A rapidly evolving MYB-

our whole-genome analysis). Because our samples were potentially heterozygous, we expected probes with low *p*-values to be either fixed differences or nucleotides with highly differentiated frequencies between forms. Sequencing of these six probes verified this expectation, as the detected nucleotide difference was either fixed between forms, or nearly fixed with either one or two heterozygous individuals for the rare allele.

Coalescent simulations. Using the program MS [35], we generated coalescent genealogies with samples drawn from two subpopulations exchanging migrants. As with the structure of most of our sequenced loci, we simulated 26 alleles sampled from one population and 24 alleles sampled from the other. We conditioned the simulation of our control loci on the number of segregating sites observed at the Chromosome 2L control locus (S = 27) and the differentiated loci on the 2L loci within the island (S = 12). We estimated migration to be $4N_em = 10$ for the control loci and $4N_em =$ 1 for the differentiated loci [26]. Because recombination rates are not known, we used the typical value of $r = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ for recombination per site in control regions ($4N_e r = 2$; $r = 1 \times 10^{-8}$; N_e = 100,000; 500 bases per locus) and r = 1 × 10⁻⁹ for recombination per site in differentiated regions ($4N_e r = 0.04$; r = 1 \times 10⁻⁹; $N_e = 10,000$; 1,000 bases per locus). All simulations were run 10,000 times, where the output of MS was parsed to count the number of fixed and shared polymorphisms for each run. To obtain the p-value associated with observing two loci with fixed differences and no shared polymorphisms, we sampled two loci for each of the 10,000 iterations and counted the number of times both showed this pattern.

Supporting Information

Table S1. Additional Information on Sequenced Loci

Found at DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285.st001 (23 KB XLS).

Accession Numbers

The GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank) accession numbers for the gene sequences discussed in this paper areAY825543–AY825922 and DQ080663–DQ080909.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to G. C. Lanzaro and F. Tripet for providing DNA from karyotyped mosquitoes for this work, and to D. Begun, A. Kern, L. Moyle, F. Tripet, R. Glor, M. Slotman, W. Stephan, M. Turelli, and many others for advice and support. This work was supported by the Center for Population Biology at the University of California, Davis (TLT), by a National Science Foundation (NSF) Interdisciplinary Informatics postdoctoral fellowship (MWH), by National Institutes of Health grant RO1 GM61773–01, and by NSF grant DEB-0316513 (SVN).

Competing Interests. The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author Contributions. TLT, MWH, and SVN conceived and designed the experiments. TLT performed the experiments. TLT and MWH analyzed the data. TLT wrote the paper with editorial suggestions from MWH and SVN.

related protein causes species isolation in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 5302–5307.

- Presgraves DC, Balagopalan L, Abmayr SM, Orr HA (2003) Adaptive evolution drives divergence of a hybrid inviability gene between two species of *Drosophila*. Nature 423: 715–719.
- Barton NH, Gale KS (1993) Genetic analysis of hybrid zones. In: Harrison RG, editor. Hybrid zones and the evolutionary process. New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 13–45
- Payseur BA, Krenz JG, Nachman MW (2004) Differential patterns of introgression across the X chromosome in a hybrid zone between two species of house mice. Evolution Int J Org Evolution 58: 2064–2078.
- Emelianov I, Marec F, Mallet J (2004) Genomic evidence for divergence with gene flow in host races of the larch budmoth. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 271: 97–105.
- Machado CA, Kliman RM, Markert JA, Hey J (2002) Inferring the history of speciation from multilocus DNA sequence data: The case of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and close relatives. Mol Biol Evol 19: 472–488.
- Wilding CS, Butlin RK, Grahame J (2001) Differential gene exchange between parapatric morphs of *Littorina saxitalis* detected using AFLP markers. J Evol Biol 14: 611–619.

- 15. White G (1974) Anopheles gambiae complex and disease transmission in Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 68: 278–301.
- Hunt RH, Coetzee M, Fettene M (1998) The Anopheles gambiae complex: A new species from Ethiopia. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 92: 231–235.
- Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, della Torre A, Di Deco MA, Petrarca V (2002) A polytene chromosome analysis of the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex. Science 298: 1415–1418.
- Gentile G, Slotman M, Ketmaier V, Powell JR, Caccone A (2001) Attempts to molecularly distinguish cryptic taxa in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. Insect Mol Biol 10: 25–32.
- Favia G, Lanfrancotti A, Spanos L, Siden-Kiamos I, Louis C (2001) Molecular characterization of ribosomal DNA polymorphisms discriminating among chromosomal forms of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. Insect Mol Biol 10: 19–23.
- Tripet F, Toure YT, Taylor CE, Norris DE, Dolo G, et al. (2001) DNA analysis of transferred sperm reveals significant levels of gene flow between molecular forms of *Anopheles gambiae*. Mol Ecol 10: 1725–1732.
- Tripet F, Toure YT, Dolo G, Lanzaro GC (2003) Frequency of multiple inseminations in field-collected *Anopheles gambiae* females revealed by DNA analysis of transferred sperm. Am J Trop Med Hyg 68: 1–5.
- 22. Di Deco MA, Petrarca V, Villani F, Coluzzi M (1980) Polimorfismo cromosomico da inversioni paracentiche ed eccesso delgi eterocariotipi in ceppi di Anopheles allevati in laboratorio. Parassitologia 22: 304–306.
- Lanzaro GC, Toure YT, Carnahan J, Zheng LB, Dolo G, et al. (1998) Complexities in the genetic structure of *Anopheles gambiae* populations in West Africa as revealed by microsatellite DNA analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 14260–14265.
- 24. Wang R, Zheng LB, Toure YT, Dandekar T, Kafatos FC (2001) When genetic distance matters: Measuring genetic differentiation at microsatellite loci in whole-genome scans of recent and incipient mosquito species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 10769–10774.
- Stump AD, Schoener JA, Constantini C, Sagnon NF, Besansky NJ (2005) Sex-linked differentiation between incipient species of *Anopheles gambiae*. Genetics 169: 1509–1519.
- 26. Wondji C, Simard F, Fontenille D (2002) Evidence for genetic differentiation between the molecular forms *M* and *S* within the Forest chromosomal form of *Anopheles gambiae* in an area of sympatry. Insect Mol Biol 11: 11–19.
- Lehmann T, Licht M, Elissa N, Maega TA, Chimumbwa JM, et al. (2003) Population structure of *Anopheles gambiae* in Africa. J Hered 94: 133–147.
- Winzeler EA, Castillo-Davis CI, Oshiro G, Liang D, Richards DR, et al. (2003) Genetic diversity in yeast assessed with whole-genome oligonucleotide arrays. Genetics 163: 79–89.
- Borevitz J, Liang D, Plouffe D, Chang HS, Zhu T, et al. (2003) Large scale identification of single-feature polymorphisms in complex genomes. Genome Res 13: 513–523.
- 30. Touré YT, Petrarca V, Traoré SF, Coulibaly A, Maiga HM, et al. (1998) The

distribution and inversion polymorphism of chromosomally recognized taxa of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex in Mali, West Africa. Parassitologia 40: 477-511.

- 31. Tajima F (1989) Statistical-method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123: 585–595.
- Charlesworth B (1998) Measures of divergence between populations and the effect of forces that reduce variability. Mol Biol Evol 15: 538–543.
- 33. Stephan W, Xing L, Kirby DA, Braverman JM (1998) A test of the background selection hypothesis based on nucleotide data from *Drosophila* ananassae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 5649–5654.
- 34. Holt RA, Subramanian GM, Halpern A, Sutton GG, Charlab R, et al. (2002) The genome sequence of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. Science 298: 129–149.
- Hudson RR (2002) Generating samples under a Wright-Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. Bioinformatics 18: 337–338.
- 36. Gentile G, della Torre A, Maegga B, Powell JR, Caccone A (2002) Genetic differentiation in the African malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae* s.s., and the problem of taxonomic status. Genetics 161: 1561–1578.
- 37. Wu CI, Ting CT (2004) Genes and speciation. Nat Rev Genet 5: 114–122.
- Felsenstein J (1981) Skepticism towards Santa Rosalia, or why are there so few kinds of animals. Evolution Int J Org Evolution 35: 124–138.
- Noor MAF, Grams KL, Bertucci LA, Reiland J (2001) Chromosomal inversions and the reproductive isolation of species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 12084–12088.
- 40. Rieseberg LH (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. Trends Ecol Evol 16: 351–358.
- Navarro A, Barton NH (2003) Accumulating postzygotic isolation genes in parapatry: A new twist on chromosomal speciation. Evolution Int J Org Evolution 57: 447–459.
- Coetzee M, Craig M, le Sueur D (2000) Distribution of African malaria mosquitoes belonging to the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. Parasitol Today 16: 74–77.
- Post RJ, Flook PK, Millest AL (1993) Methods for the preservation of insects for DNA studies. Biochem Syst Ecol 21: 85–92.
- Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH (1993) Identification of single specimens of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 49: 520–529.
- Hunt RH (1973) A cytological technique for the study of Anopheles gambiae complex. Parassitologia 15: 137–139.
- Wu Z, Irizarry RA, Gentleman R, Murillo FM, Spencer F (2004) A modelbased background adjustment for oligonucleotide expression arrays. Johns Hopkins University Department of Biostatistics Working Papers 1: 1–26.
- Gautier L, Cope L, Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA (2004) affy—Analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip data at the probe level. Bioinformatics 20: 307–315.
- Durbin R, Eddy S, Krogh A, Mitchison G (1998) Biological sequence analysis: Probabilistic models of proteins and nucleic acids. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 368 p.